AALIBAE FITLE	
COURSE TITLE: 2019	
2014	
COURSE TITLE: Panel data for comparative research 2019	

		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1,	The teaching was well organised, structured and clear.			Disagree		
	Comments:		X			
			, ,			
2.	The lecturer was good at explaining course concepts.					
	Comments:		X			
3.	The lecturer was approachable and available to provide me with support and guidance	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \				
	Comments:	X				
4.	The Teaching Assistant (if applicable) was effective and available to provide me with additional support and guidance	\/				
	Comments:	X				
5.	The aims of the course were clearly set out and the content closely matched the aims.			\ \ /		
	Comments:			X		
6.	The lab/exercises were well coordinated with					
	lectures and added value to the course.		3	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \		
	Comments:			X		
7,	The overall level of the course was about right, given my background.			> /		
	Comments:			X		
8.	I would recommend this course to a colleague.			1		
	Comments:		9	X		
9.	The pace of this course was	Much too fast	Too fast	About right	Too slow	Much too

10. Compared to its description, this course was	Much less difficult	Less difficult	As difficult as described	More difficult	Much more difficult
11. My overall assessment of the course is	Very good	Good /	Fair	Poor	Very Poor
Please write any additional comments you wish to			course here;		20

The course was a bit calculus heavy and this should have been more clear in the course description and previous knowledge requirements pertaining to this.

С	OURSE TITLE: COMPONITION !	Propre	Laht	1 20	019	
Pie n	ease place an X in the appropriate box. I	f you m	ake a mi	stake, co	mpletely	fill
		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1.	The teaching was well organised, structured and clear.			Diaugice		
	Comments:		X_{0}			
2.	The lecturer was good at explaining course concepts.					
	Comments:	X				
3.	The lecturer was approachable and available to provide me with support and guidance	\ \\				
	Comments:					
ŀ.	The Teaching Assistant (if applicable) was effective and available to provide me with additional support and guidance		/			
	Comments:					
j.	The aims of the course were clearly set out and the content closely matched the aims.					
	Comments:					
5.	The lab/exercises were well coordinated with lectures and added value to the course.					
	Comments:		$X \mid$			
•:	The overall level of the course was about right, given my background.					
	Comments:	X				

Much too fast

Too fast

About right

Too slow

Much too slow

8. I would recommend this course to a

9. The pace of this course was

colleague.

<u>Comments:</u>

Much less difficult	Less difficult	As difficult as described	More difficult	Much more difficult
Very good	Good /	Fair	Poor	Very Poor
MOC	ild	tike	, Mu	me
end	Cer	5 alg	ebio	L .
affe	red)		
	difficult Very good o make ab VYOU MACHINE	difficult Very good Good o make about the of the control of the	difficult described X Very good Good Fair Very good Good Fair Very good Good Fair Very good Carlo Good Fair Very good Fair Very good Fair Very good Fair Very good Good Fair Very good Fair	difficult described difficult

COURSE TITLE: 1/2		Teles	0.01	, occalida	2019
COURSE TITLE:	ver vo	THE FOY	Compension	48cmort	•

		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1.	The teaching was well organised, structured and clear.		~			
	Comments:		/			
2.	The lecturer was good at explaining course concepts.			\ \ \		
	Comments:					
3.	The lecturer was approachable and available to provide me with support and guidance Comments:		X			
4.	The Teaching Assistant (if applicable) was effective and available to provide me with					
	additional support and guidance Comments:		X			
5.	The aims of the course were clearly set out and the content closely matched the aims.					
	Comments:			×		
6.	The lab/exercises were well coordinated with lectures and added value to the course.		. ,		tati	
	Comments:		\sim			
7.	The overall level of the course was about right, given my background.				Y	
	Comments:					
8.	I would recommend this course to a colleague.					
	Comments:		F1	>		
9.	The pace of this course was	Much too fast	Too fast	About right	Too slow	Much too slow

10. Compared to its description, this course was	Much less difficult	Less difficult	As difficult as described	More difficult	Much mo
11. My overall assessment of the course is	Very good	Good /	Fair	Poor	Very Po
Please write any additional comments you wish to					
This course required maternatical experied than the decription of the decription	01	of i	noie	~(11 O	nlen
than the decripti	en ice	ou ou	tli'ne	d, u	ralie
it quite difficul	It to	0 4	ollou	Ú.	
1					

COURSE TITLE					2010	
COURSE TITLE: PANE	L DATA	E017-	COMPARATIUE	MISSES SON	2017	
		POID	COM HISTORY	Ir. Can		

	N	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1.,	The teaching was well organised, structured and clear.			Disagree		
	Comments:	X		11 6 11 1 h		
0	The leading of the le	41/0	1 20	e Person		
2.	The lecturer was good at explaining course concepts.			min and		
	Comments:	X				26/6
_		51-1	-6.			m. 1
3.	The lecturer was approachable and available to provide me with support and guidance				September 1	St. I.
	Comments:	X		5		Ø
4.	The Teaching Assistant (if applicable) was effective and available to provide me with additional support and guidance					
	Comments:	X				
5.	The aims of the course were clearly set out					
	and the content closely matched the aims. <u>Comments:</u>	X	1			
6.	The lab/exercises were well coordinated with					
	lectures and added value to the course.	\ \ /				
	Comments:	X				
7,	The overall level of the course was about right, given my background.					
	Comments:		X			
B.	I would recommend this course to a			 		
	colleague.					
	Comments:	/\	51			
€.	The pace of this course was	Much too fast	Too fast	About right	Too slow	Much too

Compared to its description, this course was	Much less difficult	Less difficult	As difficult as described	More difficult	Much more difficult
My overall assessment of the course is	Very good	Good /	Fair	Poor	Very Poor
lease write any additional comments you wish to			course here	ness	
Excellent instructor. Material	ww ww		was	ωυ,	21.00
n two weeks Apprecial		20	Jact	that	
		'a c/1269e		10	
	ر عود النادر	in cloose	ore	·+ e	رجايد
Dropbox Jobe as it					
o revisit he manual.					
· ·					

26	COURSE TITLE:	26	2019	
----	---------------	----	------	--

		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1.	The teaching was well organised, structured and clear. Comments:	X	N.	Disagree		
2.	The lecturer was good at explaining course concepts. Comments:	X				
3.	The lecturer was approachable and available to provide me with support and guidance Comments:	X				
4.	The Teaching Assistant (if applicable) was effective and available to provide me with additional support and guidance Comments:	X				
5.	The aims of the course were clearly set out and the content closely matched the aims. Comments:	X				
6.	The lab/exercises were well coordinated with lectures and added value to the course. Comments:	X	2)			
7.	The overall level of the course was about right, given my background. Comments: (about ol)		X			
8.	I would recommend this course to a colleague. Comments:	X	i			
9.	The pace of this course was	Much too fast	Too fast	About right	Too slow	Much too slow

10. Compared to its description, this course was	Much less difficult	Less difficult	As difficult as described	More difficult	Much more difficult
11. My overall assessment of the course is	Very good	Good /	Fair	Poor	Very Poor

Please write any additional comments you wish to make about the course here;

The lecturer provided oblailed information on the topic and illustrated It with examples. The lecturer knows the topic very well and examples to answer to any questron from the students. The pace was high but together with the lab sessions and the assignments I was aloke to apply the concepts.

One of the best courses in methodology lever had

COURSE TITLE: Panel D	ata Analysis for	Comparable 2019	

		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1,	The teaching was well organised, structured and clear.	1				
	Comments:	X				
2.	The lecturer was good at explaining course	\				
	concepts. Comments: Superb Apacher, CA plaining complex concepts dealy	X				
3.	The lecturer was approachable and available to provide me with support and guidance Comments:	X				
4.	The Teaching Assistant (if applicable) was effective and available to provide me with					
	additional support and guidance Comments:	X				
5.	The aims of the course were clearly set out and the content closely matched the aims.					
	Comments:	X				
6.	The lab/exercises were well coordinated with lectures and added value to the course.		(F)			
	Comments:	X				
7.	The overall level of the course was about right, given my background.					
	Comments:	X				
8.	I would recommend this course to a colleague.					
	Comments:		40	e e		
9.	The pace of this course was	Much too fast	Too fast	About right	Too slow	Much too slow

10. Compared	I to its des	eription, th	is course was	Much less difficult	Less difficult	As difficult as described	More difficult	Much more difficult
1. My overall	assessm	ent of the c	course is	Very good	Good /	Fair	Poor	Very Poor
			ents you wish t					
One	of	the	best	<0V	rses	1 h	we	Fahren
		5						

COURSE TITLE:	26	2019	

		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree nor	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1.	The teaching was well organised, structured and clear.			Disagree		
	Comments:	X				
2.	The lecturer was good at explaining course concepts. Comments:	X				
3.	The lecturer was approachable and available to provide me with support and guidance Comments:	X				
4.	The Teaching Assistant (if applicable) was effective and available to provide me with additional support and guidance Comments:	X				
5.	The aims of the course were clearly set out and the content closely matched the aims. Comments:	X				
6.	The lab/exercises were well coordinated with lectures and added value to the course. Comments:	X				
7.	The overall level of the course was about right, given my background. Comments:	X				
8.	I would recommend this course to a colleague. Comments:	X	Ne			
9.	The pace of this course was	Much too fast	Too fast	About right	Too slow	Much too slow

10. Comp	ared to its	description,	this course was	Much less difficult	Lèss difficult	As difficult as described	More difficult	Much more difficult
11. My ov	erall asse	ssment of th	e course is	Very good	Good /	Fair	Poor	Very Poor
Please wr	ite any ad	lditional com	ments you wish to	o make ab	out the o	course here;		
		9.						

COURSE TITLE	C	O	UR	SE	TI	TL	E
--------------	---	---	----	----	----	----	---

2019

		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1.	The teaching was well organised, structured and clear.					
	Comments:	1				
2.	The lecturer was good at explaining course concepts. Comments:	/				
3.	The lecturer was approachable and available to provide me with support and guidance Comments:	V				
1.	The Teaching Assistant (if applicable) was effective and available to provide me with additional support and guidance Comments:	/				
5.	The aims of the course were clearly set out and the content closely matched the aims. Comments:					
3.	The lab/exercises were well coordinated with lectures and added value to the course. Comments:	V	b			
	The overall level of the course was about right, given my background. Comments:	V				
3.	I would recommend this course to a colleague. Comments:		15			
).	The pace of this course was	Much too fast	Too fast	About right	Too slow	Much too slow

11. My overall assessment of the course is Very good Good Fair Please write any additional comments you wish to make about the course here	Poor e;	Very Poo
Please write any additional comments you wish to make about the course her	e;	

C	ourse TITLE: Panel data Analys			20)19	
	ease place an X in the appropriate box. I the incorrect box.	f you ma	ike a m	istake, co	mpletely	fill
		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1.	The teaching was well organised, structured and clear. Comments:					
2.	The lecturer was good at explaining course concepts. Comments:	V				
3.	The lecturer was approachable and available to provide me with support and guidance Comments:					

	Comments:					
2.	The lecturer was good at explaining course concepts. Comments:	V				
3.	The lecturer was approachable and available to provide me with support and guidance Comments:					
4.	The Teaching Assistant (if applicable) was effective and available to provide me with additional support and guidance Comments:	\bigvee				
5.	The aims of the course were clearly set out and the content closely matched the aims. Comments:	V				
6.	The lab/exercises were well coordinated with lectures and added value to the course. Comments:			\bigvee		
7.	The overall level of the course was about right, given my background. Comments:	V				
8.	I would recommend this course to a colleague. <u>Comments:</u>	V	ور			
9.	The pace of this course was	Much too fast	Too fast	About right	Too slow	Much too slow

Compared to its description, this could be a could	rse was	Much less difficult	Less difficult	As difficult as described	More difficult	Much more difficult
My overall assessment of the course	is	Very good	Good /	Fair	Poor	Very Poor
lease write any additional comments you the lab session Could had supportand albertion. provides examples not necessarily employed. This makes it a a official official official and a features.	bit	o make at volved was no anchose hose diffic	out the comore on, to ourse	le De in Ribation	Lal struck we d	77

COURSE TITLE:	2019
HINEL DATA.	Semon L.

		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1.	The teaching was well organised, structured and clear.	YES.		Disagree		-
	Comments:	1.36	r _u			
2.	The lecturer was good at explaining course concepts.	Ter.				
	Comments:					
3.	The lecturer was approachable and available to provide me with support and guidance Comments:	Yes.				
4.	The Teaching Assistant (if applicable) was effective and available to provide me with additional support and guidance	YES.				
	<u>Comments:</u>					
5.	The aims of the course were clearly set out and the content closely matched the aims.	YET.				
	Comments:					
6.	The lab/exercises were well coordinated with lectures and added value to the course.				X	
	Comments:				THIS WAS A	LITTLE
7.	The overall level of the course was about right, given my background.				*	007-700
R	Comments:	11 8				
8.	I would recommend this course to a colleague.	Mr.				
	Comments:	16.	(40)			
9.	The pace of this course was	Much too fast	Too fast	About right	Too slow	Much too slow

10. Compared to its	s description, th	nis course was	Much less difficult	Less difficult	As difficult as described	More difficult	Much more difficult
				0 1		Door	
11. My overall asse	essment of the	course is	Very good	Good	Fair	Poor	Very Poo
Please write any a	dditional comm	ents you wish	to make ab	out the	course here;		
THIS	WAS	EXCE	CCER	17.			
Cł	BQILLIF	A	38166	IAN	7		
		7	TAAC	no	2		
	Balle	1/0 (
	(2)						
l .							

COURSE TITLE:	2019	

		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1,	The teaching was well organised, structured and clear.			Disagree		
	Comments:	X				
2.	The lecturer was good at explaining course concepts.					
	Comments:	X				
3.	The lecturer was approachable and available to provide me with support and guidance					
	Comments:	X				
4.	The Teaching Assistant (if applicable) was effective and available to provide me with additional support and guidance	5/				
	<u>Comments:</u>	X				
5.	The aims of the course were clearly set out and the content closely matched the aims.					
	Comments:	X				
6.	The lab/exercises were well coordinated with lectures and added value to the course.		5			
	Comments:	X				
7.	The overall level of the course was about right, given my background.					
	Comments:	X				
8.	I would recommend this course to a colleague.	,				
	Comments:	X	, si			
9.	The pace of this course was	Much too fast	Too fast	About right	Too slow	Much too slow

10. Co		description	n, this course was	Much less difficult	Less difficult	As difficult as described	More difficult	Much mod difficult
11. My	overall asses	sment of t	he course is	Very good	Good /	Fair	Poor	Very Poo
Please	write any add	ourse here;						
		9						2